

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 MICHAEL LYNN WATERS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 A.W. COOK, et al,

15 Defendants.
16
17
18

C 07-4683 CRB (PR)

**~~[PROPOSED ORDER]~~
GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
REQUEST FOR AN
EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE AN OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
PENDING RULING ON
MOTION TO DISMISS**

19 Defendants filed a request for an extension of time to oppose Plaintiff's motion for summary
20 judgment, pending the Court's determination of Defendants' motion to dismiss. The Court has read
21 and considered Defendants' request, and good cause appearing, the Court grants Defendants' request
22 for an extension of time. If Defendants' motion to dismiss this action is decided in Plaintiff's favor,
23 then Defendants shall file with the Court and serve on Plaintiff an opposition to Plaintiff's motion
24 for summary judgment no later than thirty days from the date of this Court's order on that motion.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

[Proposed] Order Granting Ext. of Time to File Opp. to Pl.'s Mot. Summ. J.


Waters v. Cook, et al.,
C 07-4683 CRB (PR)

1 Plaintiff shall file a reply brief no later than fifteen days after Defendants' opposition is filed.

2
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 Dated: June 20, 2008

HON. CHARLES BREYER
U.S. District Court



6
7
8
9 20116316.wpd

10 SF2008400642